

# STRATEGIC THINKING MAP | PART TWO

APRIL 14, 2016

#### **Opening Activity**

Base	upon what you know and have learned about Rowe, what would you identify as Rowe's WHY?	
Whi	watching the clip of Rowe, reflect on what you see, hear, observe and feel.	
_	What did you see?	
_	What did you hear?	
•		
-	What did you observe?	
•		
_	What did you feel?	
•		
Can	u identify 4-5 pieces of evidence that would support Rowe's WHY and HOW?	

	STARTING WITH THE WHY
	WHY? =  O What is your cause? What are your beliefs?
	O What is your cause: What are your beliefs:
>	HOW? =
	<ul> <li>The actions taken to realize the WHY; guiding values and principles.</li> </ul>
>	WHAT? =
	<ul> <li>What do you do? The result of WHY. The proof.</li> </ul>
Benefit	s of Measurement
>	Strategic Alignment
	Performance Improvement
	Ownership, Empowerment and Fulfillment

#### **HOW to Measure: A Framework for Measurement**



#### **Developing Outcomes: About Outcomes and Outcome Statements**

#### **Performance Indicators**

> Identifies what will be monitored and evaluated to demonstrate results

➤ Must be specific, observable and measurable

	uestions:	
Good P	formance Indicators Should:	
>		
>		
>		
>		
>		
>		

#### **SMART Performance Indicators**

s		
М		
Α		
R		
_		
т		
	Common Struggles with Performance Measure	
	common struggles with refrontance measure	

#### **Effective Performance Management Practices**

>	
>	
>	
>	
>	
_	
>	
	5 Steps to Find the Right Measures
	Step 1:
	Step 1:Step 2:
	Step 2:Step 3:
	Step 2:

#### **Performance Targets**

Definition:	
Answer the (	question:
	5 Steps to Use Measures to Reach Performance Targets
Step	1:
Step	2:
Step	3:
Step	4:
Step	5:
	Reporting and Monitoring Performance
Perfo	ormance Report need to answer three questions:
0	
0	
0	

# ROWE TEMPLATES



## ROWE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

 Name:
 Teacher

 Position:
 Teacher

 Base Salary:
 \*\*\*

 Evaluation period
 Year-end

 Overall point total:
 #DIV/0!

 Performance Level:
 #DIV/0!

 Bonus payout:
 #DIV/0!

	Incentive Bonus Plan Schedule								
	Point range	Ranking	Payout %	Payout \$					
0	Less than 50 points	Unsatisfactory	0%	\$ -					
50	50 – 74 points	Below Developing	0.94%	\$ -					
74	74 – 89 points	Developing	1.88%	\$ -					
90	90 - 100 points	Proficient	3.75%	\$ -					
101	Greater than 101 points	Distinguished	5%	\$ -					

Performance Summary									
Criteria	Rating	Score	# unsatisfactory	Flagged for review					
Performance Metrics	Proficient	#DIV/0!							
RESCO	Proficient	0.00							
Fit With RES Metrics	Proficient	#DIV/0!							
Fit With RES Evaluation	Proficient	0.00							

Weighting	Weighting Goals			Points
	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
12.00%	65%		4.8	0.0
12.00%	65%		4.8	0.0
12.00%			4.8	#DIV/0!
12.00%			4.8	#DIV/0!
9.00%	1.0		3.6	0.0
9.00%	1.2		3.6	0.0
9.00%	4.0		3.6	0.0
9.00%	85%		3.6	0.0
0.00%	80%		0.0	0.0
4.00%	95%		1.6	0.0
4.00%	95%		1.6	0.0
4.00%	95%		1.6	0.0
4.00%	50%		1.6	0.0
0.00%	90%		0.0	0.0
100.0%			40	#DIV/0!
	12.00% 12.00% 12.00% 12.00% 9.00% 9.00% 9.00% 9.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00%	Target   12.00%   65%   12.00%   65%   12.00%   65%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   12.00%   13.00%   1	Weighting         Target         Actual           12.00%         65%           12.00%         65%           12.00%         12.00%           12.00%         1.0           9.00%         1.2           9.00%         4.0           9.00%         85%           0.00%         80%           4.00%         95%           4.00%         95%           4.00%         50%           0.00%         90%	Weighting           12.00%         65%         4.8           12.00%         65%         4.8           12.00%         4.8         12.00%           12.00%         4.8         12.00%           9.00%         4.8         3.6           9.00%         1.0         3.6           9.00%         4.0         3.6           9.00%         4.0         3.6           9.00%         85%         3.6           0.00%         80%         0.0           4.00%         95%         1.6           4.00%         95%         1.6           4.00%         50%         1.6           0.00%         90%         0.0

Academic Supports	Weighting	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished	Total points
6.67		0.00	5.00	6.67	10.00	·
Instructional Planning	25%					
Concept Development	25%					
Quality of Feedback	25%					
Language Modeling	25%					
Total points						0.0
RESCO: Academic				Proficient		

Scholar Culture Supports	Weighting	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished	Total points
6.67		0.00	5.00	6.67	10.00	-
PBIS Approach	33%					
Productivity	33%					
Instructional Engagement	33%					
Total points						0.0
RESCO: Scholar Culture				Proficient		

SEL Supports	Weighting	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished	Total points
6.67		0.00	5.00	6.67	10.00	
Positive Climate	33%					
Teacher Sensitivity	33%					
Empowering Scholar Voice	33%					
Total points						0.0
RESCO: SEL		Proficient				

SpEd	Weighting	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished	Total points
0.00	weighting	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	rotal politis
Special Education Tasks	33%					
Collaboration	33%					
Implementation of Supports	33%					
Total points						0.00
RESCO: SEL						

Fit with RES Metrics	Woighting	Mainhting Goals		Points	
20	Weighting	Target	Actual	Target	Actual
GL NWEA ELA % Meeting Growth Goals	7.7%	65%		1.54	0.0
GL NWEA Math % Meeting Growth Goals	7.7%	65%		1.54	0.0
GLNWEA ELA Attainment	7.7%			1.54	#DIV/0!
GL NWEA Math Attainment	7.7%			1.54	#DIV/0!

Confidential Page 1



## ROWE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

April 12, 2016

F&P Growth: Scholars on GL	7.7%	1.0	1.54	0.0
F&P Growth: Scholars below GL	7.7%	1.2	1.54	0.0
GL Scholar Climate Survey	7.7%	4.0	1.54	0.0
GL DESSA	7.7%	85%	1.54	0.0
GL PE Mastery	0.0%	80%	0.00	0.0
GL Drama Mastery	0.0%	75%	0.00	0.0
GL Art Mastery	0.0%	75%	0.00	0.0
GL Music Mastery	0.0%	75%	0.00	0.0
GL Spanish Mastery	0.0%	75%	0.00	0.0
GL % of Scholars Meeting IEP Goals	7.7%	80%	1.54	0.0
SW Teacher Attendance	7.7%	95%	1.54	0.0
SW Scholar Attendance	7.7%	95%	1.54	0.0
SW RCPU Attendance	7.7%	90%	1.54	0.0
SW Family NPS - Recommend to Scholars	7.7%	80%	1.54	0.0
Total points	100%		20	#DIV/0!
Fit with RES Metrics				

Fit with RES Evaluation	Weighting	Unsatisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Distinguished	Total points
20	weighting	0.00	15.00	20.00	28.12	rotal points
Pride	25%					
Success	25%					
Honor	25%					
Organizational Contribution	25%					
Total points						0.0
Fit with RES Evaluation	Proficient					

Academic Supports			
Scholar Culture Supports			
Scholar Saltare Supports			
+T Mh Cih	D-4-	Delinational	Data
*Team Member Signature	Date	Principal	Date
		Northwestern Settlement President	Date

Confidential Page 2

<sup>\*</sup> A signature of this summary does not necessarily mean the licensed employee agrees with the opinions expressed, but merely indicates that the employee has read the analysis and had an opportunity to discuss it with his/her immediate supervisor.

Unit Name:			
Grade/Subject:			
Unit Dates:			

Stage 1 - Desired Results			
Established Goals (reference LTP for standards):			
Transfer			
Scholars will be able to independently use their learning to			
Enduring Understandings (EUs)	Essential Questions (EQs)		
Scholars will understand that	Scholars will keep considering		
Knowledge	Skills		
Scholars will know	Scholars will be able to		

Stage 2 - Assessment Evidence			
Performance Tasks	Other Evidence		
How will you monitor progress throughout thi	L s unit?		
The street of th			

#### Stage 3 - Learning Plan

Learning Targets (reference LTP for learning targets)

Learning Targets broken down into daily, teachable objectives (note that some learning targets will be further broken down further into daily teachable objectives while some may already be broken down into the daily objective)

Aligned Learning Activities

#### **NOTES**

#### **NOTES**